

ARC Centre of Excellence for Creative Industries and  
Innovation (CCi) and  
Institute for Creative Industries and Innovation (iCi)

Invites you to a

**Free Public Lecture**  
on  
**Copyright and Creativity**

Creativity is universally agreed to be a good that copyright law should seek to promote, yet the study of creativity has been especially problematic for copyright scholars. Decentering creativity can foster both a more modest conception of copyright's role in stimulating creativity and a keener appreciation of copyright's downside risks. It also fosters a clearer understanding of the connections between copyright, cultural progress, and social justice.

**Guest Speaker:**

**Julie E. Cohen**

Professor of Law

Georgetown University Law Center

Visiting Professor, Harvard Law School 2009



**Monday, 25 May 2009**

**Gibson Room, Z Block Level 10, Room Z1064**

**QUT Gardens Point Campus**

**5:00pm – 6:30pm**

**RSVP** to Courtney or Colleen via email, [infocci@qut.edu.au](mailto:infocci@qut.edu.au) or phone, +61 7 3138 3556

**RSVP essential. Please RSVP by 30 APRIL 2009**

## **Bio: Professor Julie E. Cohen**

Julie E. Cohen teaches and writes about intellectual property law and privacy law, with particular focus on copyright and on the intersection of copyright and privacy rights in the networked information society. She is a co-author of *Copyright in a Global Information Economy* (Aspen Law & Business, 2d ed. 2006), and is a member of the Advisory Boards of the Electronic Privacy Information Center and Public Knowledge. From 1995 to 1999, Professor Cohen taught at the University of Pittsburgh School of Law. From 1992 to 1995, she practiced with the San Francisco firm of McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen, where she specialized in intellectual property litigation. Professor Cohen received her A.B. from Harvard University and her J.D. from the Harvard Law School, where she was a Supervising Editor of the *Harvard Law Review*. She is a former law clerk to Judge Stephen Reinhardt of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.